

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/601,088	06/20/2003	Joseph W. Lovette	00124-00992-US	6101
	7590 12/14/2004	EXAMINER		
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP P O BOX 2207 WILMINGTON, DE 19899			COONEY, JOHN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			DATE MAIL ED. 12/14/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

P	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/601,088	LOVETTE, JOSEPH W.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John m Cooney	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a 1 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matt	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application	o n	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rawn nom consideration,	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	nor	·
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		hy the Evenine
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) he held in abeyan	Jy the Examiner.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing((e) is objected to Sec 37 CED 1 121(d)
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documer		
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Ap	oplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been r	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not re	eceived.
· ·		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Su Paper No(s)	ımmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>0603</u> .	3) 5) Notice of Info	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S Patent and Todopode Office	6) Other:	J.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,088

Art Unit: 1711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishida et al.(6,136,879) in view of Fracalossi et al.(4,621,106).

Nishida et al. discloses preparations of flexible polyurethane foams, wherein polyether polyols, inclusively in admixture with polyester polyols, are combined with isocyanate components at isocyanate indexes as claimed in appropriate molds in the presence of water as a blowing agent, crosslinkers, and additives (see column 13 lines 27 – column 18 line 29, as well as, the entire document).

Nishida et al. differs from applicant's claims in that water in the amount specified by the claims is not specifically required. However, Nishida et al. (see column 17 lines 12-24) indicates variability in the amounts of water utilized for the purpose of controlling densities. Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to have reduced water employment within the processing operations of Nishida et al. for the purpose of increasing densities of the obtained foams in order to arrive at the products and/or processes of applicant's claims with the expectation of success in the absence of a showing of new or unexpected results. All disclosures of the prior art,

Art Unit: 1711

including unpreferred or auxiliary embodiments, must be considered in determining obviousness. In re Mills, 176 USPQ; In re Lamberti, 192 USPQ 278; In re Boe, 148 USPQ 507.

Nishida et al. differs from applicant's claims in that anti-static additives are not explicitly identified as additives they are particularly concerned with utilizing.

However, Fracalossi et al. (column 2 lines 42-55, as well as, the entire document) discloses the employment of anti-static additives in polyester based polyurethane foams for the purpose of imparting surface resistivity to the articles realized. Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed the anti-static additives of Fracalossi et al. in the preparations of Nishida et al. for the purpose of imparting their anti-static and surface resistance reducing effect in order to arrive at the products and/or processes of applicants' claims with the expectation of success in the absence of a showing of new or unexpected results.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kageoka et al. is cited for its disclosure of relevant polyester/polyether polyol in the relevant art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN M. COONEY JR
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(70 4) 170